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À Propos de Nice: Mapping a Regional / International Phenomenon for a National Stage

Rosemary O’Neill*
Parson The New School for Design

Abstract
This paper will examine the exhibition À propos de Nice catalogue (Centre Georges Pompidou, 1977) as a model of intertwining cords of regional, national, international and ethnic links in relation to the distinctive artistic practices in the region, which are firmly linked to geography and history of place. Thirty-five years after this publication, the choice of maps appears prescient on the part of Ben Vautier. With the locale’s strong affiliation with Mediterranean culture, a history of cultural diversity, and a legacy of international tourism, the French Riviera is an exemplary case study of the complexities of defining this cultural space in relation to current national, transnational, and global models.

Résumé
Cet article étudie le catalogue de l’exposition À propos de Nice (Centre Georges Pompidou, 1977), dont Ben Vautier a notamment dessiné la couverture, comme un modèle d’entrelacs des liens régionaux, nationaux, internationaux et ethniques, en relation avec la production spécifique de la région Alpes-Maritimes fermement associée à sa géographie et son histoire. Trente-cinq ans après la publication, le choix des cartes par Ben paraît visionnaire. L’affiliation locale à la culture méditerranéenne, la diversité de l’histoire culturelle et l’héritage du tourisme international de la Riviera française en font un cas d’étude de la complexité à définir un espace culturel avec les modèles nationaux, transnationaux et globaux actuels.

* Rosemary O’Neill is an Associate Professor of Art History at Parson The New School for Design. She has recently published Art and Visual Culture on the French Riviera 1956-1971 (Ashgate Publishing 2012). She has also contributed to catalogues for the Corcoran Museum and the Southbank Center in London.
À propos de Nice was scheduled as the inaugural exhibition at the Musée National d’Art Moderne at the Centre Georges Pompidou in 1977. The much discussed building by the Italian-British architectural team of Renzo Piano and Richard Rogers with its external orientation and out of scale dimensions in relation to the Marais area on which it imposed itself presented a significant cultural challenge in post 1960s Paris. Following five years after the controversial exhibition “Douze Ans de l’Art Contemporain en France” held at the Grand Palais in 1972, when tensions between artists, critics, and a state appointed team of curators resulted in a highly politicized event and police intervention at the exhibition vernissage, the nearly five years that intervened before the inauguration of Centre Georges Pompidou can be characterized as one of politicized artists manifesting continued distrust of the state hand in shaping the critical reception of French contemporary art through government sanctioned exhibitions. Ben (as he is known) participated in the Grand Palais exhibition having just two months earlier placed one of his well-known écritures on the site of the future Centre Pompidou indicating that it was Ben, who held the first exhibition at the site as an independent intervention dated March 2, 1972 (Fig.1). So the choice by the Swedish curator Pontus Hultén, appointed founding director of the Musée National d’Art Moderne at the Centre Pompidou, to select Ben known as an advocate of regional cultures to design and write the catalogue detailing artistic activity along the French Riviera might suggest that this new national institution dedicated to modern and contemporary art signaled a declaration of support for a new approach to state run culture open to decentralization, a new found commitment to diverse regional identities within France, and a belated acknowledgment of the local and international reputations of artists who emerged as a force along Côte d’Azur in the postwar era. This exhibition was nearly overshadowed by an emphasis on the building’s architecture as statement of cultural transparency leaving the critical focus on the inaugural exhibition on the French Riviera to be considered as an academic exercise lacking the performative energies that characterized Nice in the 1960s or positioning the works as original, if not eccentric, in relation to their Parisian counterparts. This paper will consider how Ben and Hultén conceived this exhibition, and how this new and controversial national institution positioned itself within a culturally diverse France and internationally by organizing À Propos de Nice as its opening exhibition statement.

According to Hultén in the catalogue, the exhibition aimed to present a “new theoretical confrontation,” which probes the relationship between Niçois contemporary artists and regional initiatives to revive a distinctive Mediterranean culture within the French nation. The selection of the Côte d’Azur appears strategic given the region’s status as a cultural center, its legacy as an international tourist destination especially appealing to Americans, its strong geographic

---

appeal and history of cultural diversity, and its status as the center of French mass-culture. Just a decade earlier, it was these very characteristics that impeded Minister of Culture André Malraux’s decentralization policies in the region. His effort to export Parisian culture to the provinces via centrally planned artistic programming, aimed to unify the arts under a national mantle, and to restore cultural legitimacy to the state in recognition of challenges posed by American art and a growing American art institutional influence during the course of his tenure.

In advance of the Musée National d’Art Moderne’s inauguration, a strategy to promote a new vision of French culture as “open and revitalizing” was launched, even while the enormous funding needed to build and sustain programs at this national center required that state funding to be focused on the capital, to the detriment of some provincial cultural initiatives. This “centralizing institution” was built at a time of growing public support for decentralization led by Ministers of Culture Michel Guy and Françoise Giroud, who paradoxically described the new Beaubourg as “a central station of decentralization.”

Ben recounted how Hulten had visited his art store in Nice to discuss the regional scene. “Laboratoire 32,” later called “Ben doute de Tout,” was a multi-lingual space where international art publications, especially American art magazines, were circulated and artists’ encounters intersected with contemporary intellectual debates. It was here that young artists disenchanted with the pedagogy of the École des Arts Décoratifs gathered making the store an unofficial art academy oriented towards encounters, performance, and exhibitions that featured artists associated with different contemporary trends who often exhibited or collaborated together. It was also here that the political thinker François Fontan founded the National Occitan Party (PNO) in 1959, and he became a popular figure among the region’s artists, and especially Ben, who promoted his thinking about regional autonomy and the need to revivify regional languages that crossed national boundaries.

Ben planned to draw Hulten a map with the locations of artists in and beyond the city of Nice, but instead he opted for a readymade map on which he pinpointed locations in the proximate area. Ben does not recall if the idea for the exhibition was Hulten’s plan, whether it was decided in concert with the Ministry of Culture or even, if it was the result of Hulten’s visit to Nice. But once the decision was made to organize an exhibition focused on contemporary artists in Nice from 1947-1977 with Ben as curator, the artist insisted that the catalogue include a section on “Niçoise/Occitan” culture. By choosing a geographic map (Fig.2), he drew attention to the proximity of younger artists who animated the scene from 1947 to 1972, leaving aside the conventional focus on modernist titans such as Henri Matisse, Pablo Picasso, and Jean Cocteau whose efforts resulted in a growing cultural infrastructure in the area. Instead, with a focus on activity from 1956 to 1972, in particular, he
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85 The dissemination was primarily though the Maisons de la Culture and the focus was initially theater. Nice as one of the first cities to have a Maison de la Culture established, which opened in 1936.
89 Ibid.
90 Ibid.
reinforced the relationship between youth, imagination, and privileged geography, qualities that transformed the French Riviera's earlier association with retirement and retreat into a paradise for the young, fashionable, creative, and mobile "generation 60." Ben’s central role as promoter of Niçois artists since the late 1950s, positioned him as a subjective insider, but not an uncritical one. And he questioned the institution's motives for selecting Nice writing: is it "to show what is happening outside of Paris or to reinforce the notion that little is happening beyond the capital?" 92

The title of the exhibition, À propos de Nice averted the debated issue of an identifiable "École de Nice," a perceived challenge to a weakened "École de Paris" already confronted by postwar American artistic hegemony during the same period. It also avoided sanctioning the contested umbrella designation for diverse artists from the Côte d'Azur, who were since associated with national and international groups: the Paris-centered and internationally regarded Nouveaux Réalistes (Yves Klein, Arman, Martial Raysse), international Fluxus with outlets in Nice and Villefranche, a region designation "Fluxus South" by New York based Fluxus promoter/designer George Macunias, and artists in the circle of Claude Viallat at École des Arts Décoratif de Nice, soon known in relation to the Nice-Paris group Support-Surfaces. Instead, with this title, Ben evoked the landmark film, À propos de Nice, an innovative 1929 documentary essay realized by Jean Vigo on the subject of the region's tourist development, which visually critiqued the collisions of the region's inhabitants with cosmopolitan tourists and the incongruities of cultural difference, economic disparity, ethnic segregation, and theatricality, if not outright parody, of this international yet exceedingly local setting.

Norbert Hillaire has recently described the region as a site of passage, a cross road of cultures, which he characterized as a place of cultural unity and pluralism. Taking a socio-geographic perspective, he credits tourism as the transformational dynamic that made a provincial region into a cosmopolitan and inter-cultural site.93 Hillaire used the metaphor of wave and crest to illustrate the invention of the Côte d'Azur as a space where history and fashion intersect revealing "multiple temporalities."94 He identified the postwar era as one where the region's protracted history synched with a concentrated moment of contemporary artistic activity producing a highpoint of pluralistic visual arts production.

In Ben’s essay and chronology, his emphasized conceptual links among individual artists whose works manifest pluralist tendencies and how place factored into mitigating the artists’ group affiliations through individual collaborations and local exhibitions enabling exchanges and cross-fertilization of ideas. The commonality Ben identified among this diverse group of thirty-plus artists was the aim to tackle “the real” in relation to the radical changes in postwar society, the material strategies for capturing a changed actuality, the importance of geographic and social means of appropriation, and performance interventions. This conceptual framework linked varied artistic trends and reinforced the importance of place. Ben outlined nearly a dozen subsets of artistic directions related to Nouveau Réalisme, Fluxus, and Supports-Surfaces, which he describes as having "repercussions on the national and international map." As a result of geographic rapport and collaborative tendencies, Nice is profiled as a place of artistic liberation and experimental autonomy where artists of diverse backgrounds, and even nationalities, engaged freely with the environment and its distinct character.

Most obviously, the Nouveaux Réalistes’ appropriation of a new postwar reality most directly upheld the region’s “place-myth”95 in relation to structuring a new vision of classical space (Klein), responding to the artifacts of
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93 Norbert Hillaire, La Côte d'Azur après la Modernité (Nice: Les Editions Ovadia, 2010), 18.
94 Ibid., 42.
historical memory (Arman), and appropriating the experiences of contemporary stereotypes of beach culture (Rayssse). The First Festival of Nouveau Réalisme of July 1961 demonstrated ways to precipitate “hygiene of vision,” or the direct experience of contemporary reality without the need for artistic transcription.96 This performance event held on the eve of Bastille Day evoked a mock-revolutionary turn away from the École de Paris and painting as a vehicle of expression. In keeping with a modernist historical dynamic, Ben described its “progressive denouement,” a fall into a decorative style, losing its critical provocation yet increasing its market recognition and the renown of the artists beyond the region.

Ben was linchpin of Fluxus in the region, and he took the readymade to an extreme through conceptual appropriation of life itself as art, inventoried by the artist from the most banal gesture to the grandiose signature of the Mediterranean horizon enacted as interventions often staged on and around the famed Promenade des Anglais, the beachfront walkway most often populated by summer tourists. Influenced by Marcel Duchamp and John Cage, Ben and Fluxus artists George Brecht and Robert Filliou, founders and operators of the art store La Cédille qui Sourit in Villefranche, situating Nice as a stop for New York and European artists, while Fluxus editions, exhibitions, and performance events were planned there for export. Locally, it was Ben's promotional skills, Filliou's interest in substituting artistic training with amateurism, and Brecht's Cage-inspired musings on art as philosophical inquiry, which centered their social encounters in local cafes and other public venues.

In the catalogue section cited as “Les moments de la création à Nice”, Ben described how artists “located themselves” within the café culture of Nice. He devoted a full page to list and described key bistros that were regular meeting places. These cafés would often provide spaces for cultural gatherings, as was the case with Le Provence and Le Ballon d'Alsace where historian and art critic Jacques Lepage founded Le Club des Jeunes, an interdisciplinary association of writers, artists and musicians.97 While Le Café de Turin was identified as the “most Occitan,” where a local and international cohort of artists associated with Fluxus regularly met.

Lepage’s contribution to the 1977 catalogue, “Creativity in Nice” began with a provocative question, “Isn’t Nice a cosmopolitan city, a bourgeois city, and colonial city par excellent?” Raising the complexity of the region’s status having been part of the House of Savoy until 1860 when it was annexed to France, its’ status as a destination for British and Russian aristocracy, and its cosmopolitanism, which grew over the course of the twentieth century, he notes that Nice is the only city outside of Paris with a list of prestigious museums dedicated to giants of French modernism, while “particularisms are written on the walls like an indigenous language.” He contends that Nice became a catalyst for artistic energy across the Occitan region of the Mediterranean, which resulted in a cultural turn toward provincial autonomy and a denunciation of the Parisian cultural system and art market. Instead, the dissemination of artists’ works occurred in local festivals and other venues that attest to “a sense of place.”98

The most regionally connected artists were those associated in Claude Viallat’s circle at the École des Arts Décoratifs. Viallat brought pedagogical change to this staid academy (from which he was removed), and took up painting not in relation to stylistic innovation, despite its indebtedness to American formalist painting, but instead as a material and structure that was historically given. In the context of deconstruction theory and the discontent of the May’68 era, the dismantling of painting as a visual paradigm resulted in an


98 Arman (as quoted in À propos de Nice, 13) recalled discussing the relationship between ethnography and the history of jazz. Nice was and remains an important venue for American jazz. The historical Palais Lascaris, dedicated to music in the region, devotes a gallery space to Louis Armstrong and the legacy of American jazz in the region beginning in the aftermath of World War II.
analytic approach to givens such as a recto/verso format and the artifice of logo-centric images in favor of working with and through materials often allowing the Mediterranean environment (sun, rain, wind, etc.) to impact stained or painted surfaces. The exhibition *Rencontres de Coaraze* (Coaraze Encounter) organized by Viallat and Lepage in July 1969 was precedent setting for an ad-hoc affiliation of artists. Lepage maintained that this was the first plein-air exhibition of the later named group Supports-Surfaces – “the village was entirely occupied by the works.” Artists Daniel Dezeuze, Bernard Pagès, Patrick Saytour and Viallat staged their works throughout this provincial hamlet, one of thirteen locations along the ‘Route of Perched Villages’ on the outskirts of Nice, demonstrating how these presentations of their research on materials, forms, color and use of visual repetition produced sites of artistic and social exchange in relation to temporality and history. They aimed to challenge notions of artistic progress as an evolutionary and linear dynamic through use of repetitive surface markings and structural reversions that manifest diverse pictorial enunciations. The following summer twelve ephemeral installations titled *Intérieur/Extérieur* were installed across the Mediterranean. Prioritizing mobility, systematic analysis and change in relation to repetition, the situation of works within diverse geographic and community spaces were positioned not as artworks but as open signs in relation to sites over the course of their temporal display. Artist Patrick Saytour listed incidents attesting to the expansion of meanings and material functions the works produced: children were disappointment when works used for a game were removed from a community square, volleyball players used works strung between trees for an impromptu game, a father and son re-arranged of works left behind into an obstacle course, and archeologists used canvases to cover digs. Saytour’s listing of these incidents testifies to the artists’ aim to imagine how their installations could function within broader contexts, and to their ambitions for new concepts and practices within expansive geographic spaces and small communities. This exhibition of Mediterranean-based artists constituted a prelude to the formal organization of the Nice-Paris group Support-Surfaces, launched at ARC 1, the Musée national d’art moderne’s initiative to foster a space for artistic debate and contestation within the cultural institution and to test the museum’s limitations in relation to contemporary practices.

Seven years later, Ben’s initiated a debate in this catalogue about relationships which may exist between contemporary art and the revival of Occitan culture associated with that territory, its relevance for the artists, and unforeseen problems associated with recuperating an Occitan identity, one that crossed national borders along the Mediterranean from Italy to Spain. The artists’ responses were not uniformly positive. Artists in support of Occitan cultural revival most often cited multi-generational links to the province, use of Occitan language in family and social settings, economic disparity between provincial culture activities in contrast with Paris, and a legacy of linguistic imperialism in regional education. In Ben’s view expressed in this catalogue, regional autonomy was a necessary step to correct a sense of cultural inferiority outside of Paris, and he used the exhibition to make the case that regional culture is in fact a patrimony issue.

But several artists voiced concerns about this regional initiative citing the possibilities of rising xenophobia, and concerns with culture regression or regional separation. Arman, who had re-located to New York over a decade earlier, was most articulate in outlining these concerns. Other artists viewed these revivalist efforts as merely archeological exercises, if not insignificant altogether, noting that other means can be used to address the failures of French decentralization policies.

The exhibition catalogue also includes a map drafted by François Fontan showing the ethno-
linguistic organization of Europe (Fig.3), as well as several photographs of graffiti, most with the identifying pays’ “OC” mark (Fig.4). Despite the lack of consensus among artists concerning their affiliation with Occitan culture, Ben argued that the contemporary model of contemporary art was exclusionary and failed to account for the marginalization of certain geographic areas and he questioned the very notion of the contemporaneousness insisting that the exclusion of traditional cultures diminished cultural richness, artificially limited, and screened contemporary artistic production to fit an evolutionary and homogenizing cultural drive.102

Fig.3
François Fontan, Map of European Ethnic Cultures, Courtesy of Ben Vautier.

Nearly a decade prior to the exhibition À Propos de Nice, Fontan published La Nation Occitane: ses frontières, ses régions (1969). Ben would follow Fontan’s program of introducing a platform based on a program of cultural pluralism and the need for socio-political unification. Fontan defined his vision in terms of an “international of ethnicities” situated within the context of the nation state.103 A critical aspect of his program was support for linguistic diversity, the “synthetic index of a nation,” as well as the establishment of transnational regional networks based on autonomous federations of ethnicities.104 Specific to Occitan culture, he defined in broad strokes the characteristics of a population spanning the Mediterranean from Italy to Catalonia as manifesting sociability and a spirit of independence, qualities that parallel Ben’s conception of the art scene along the Côte d’Azur.

Fig.4
Graffiti, photograph. Photographer unidentified.

À propos de Nice was exhibited along with a second exhibition devoted to the works of Marcel Duchamp, which included key works from the Philadelphia Museum of Art and the Museum of Modern Art in New York. Hulten explained that the exhibition was a component of a two-year master plan to stage “two big ambitious thematic shows – Paris/New York and Paris/Moscow.”105 Noting that it was important to dedicate an exhibition to Duchamp since he was well known in New York but not in Paris – because “he left the country”106 – Jean Clair writes in the catalogue that Duchamp’s oeuvre is a major 20th-century contribution, which has been acknowledged in the U.S. but barely known in France.107 While the director and curator are certainly underestimating Duchamp’s renown in France, they aimed to re-introduce Duchamp as an

102 Ben later wrote: “Ethnicism states the right of difference for all, the right to a sense of contemporaneousness for all.” Ben, pour ou contre : une retrospective, exhibition catalogue, Marseille: MAC Galeries Contemporaines des Musées de Marseille, July 14 – October 1, 1995), 60.
104 Ibid.
106 Ibid., 101.
international French artist who challenged “monocular vision” and he produced a body of works that situated painting as an intellectual practice in relation to other disciplines. By pairing the Duchamp exhibition with À propos de Nice, Hulten enabled connections to be made between Duchamp and Nouveaux Réalisme and the readymade; Fluxus and concepts of attitude, comportment, and identity; and Supports-Surfaces with its challenge to monocular vision through dismantling painting as a logo-centric carrier of representations.

Hulten describes the Musée National d’Art Moderne as “open,” and as director, he “wanted to use the experience of the ‘60s – what one learned in those years” to transform the museum into a critical space. Pierre Schneider’s description of the Beaubourg as a “grand cultural surface” dedicated to contemporary art is indicative of a perceived need to re-think the museum’s mission, and to acknowledge artists’ lingering hostility toward cultural institutions in the post’68 period. Even more importantly, Schneider’s description suggests that France now sees itself as a more complex, but still unified force open to internationalism (Marcel Duchamp) and the regionalism (À propos de Nice). The Musée d’Art Moderne reasserted Paris’ claim as the center of contemporary art and the European art market in relation to a an international axis. Instead of resisting the market, Paris wanted to expand its role in the market, and the state sponsorship of FIAC [International Fair of Contemporary Art] in 1976 just two months before the inauguration of the Centre Pompidou complex, made clear its intent to intervene when the Minister of Culture opened up the Grand Palais to this commercial art fair. The advertising acknowledged the preeminent role of New York over the previous thirty-year period, but also optimistically saw an opportunity given “the rediscovery of artists of quality who had been overlooked during the period of “American explosion,” and those who had successfully “assimilated the American lesson.” While Paris in the 1960s resisted the internationalism of the art market and the expanding roles of galleries and museums, the autonomy and entrepreneurialism of artists in Nice connected them with provincial culture and a cultural network that was international, especially with New York and Los Angeles.

On the occasion of the 1991 inauguration of the Musée d’Art Moderne et d’Art Contemporain in Nice, director Claude Fournet described the city as the model of cultural and historical pastiche, its success linked to its geographic beauty and its attraction to artists and tourists. As a model of future cities of leisure and culture, Nice emerged from the 1960s having shaped a distinctive art scene, which captured the complexities and directions of a rapidly changing historical moment. Ben’s emphasis on performance, film and photography, and installations are today’s mediums of choice on the global art circuit. Yet, artistic decentralization and geographic autonomy have remained elusive goals and the generation of new localities remains subject to networks operating from within global cultural capitals. As the 2009 exhibition Terre Natale (Native Land) at the Fondation Cartier demonstrated, autonomous regional cultures have all but succumbed to delocalization, and one example highlighted in this exhibition was the decline of Occitan language and culture along the Mediterranean coast. Ben’s choice of a map for the exhibition cover was discerning. À propos de Nice framed a thirty-year chapter in French contemporary art, and with it a history of confronting a capital re-asserting itself as “a central station of decentralization,” the very model of Malraux’s cultural policy.

108 Hulten, “Beaubourg Preview,” 100.
111 Ibid., 54.