## On-Farm Evaluation of Tomato Cultivars for Disease Resistance, 2006.

Dan Egel, Southwest Purdue Ag Program, Vincennes, IN 47591 Butch Zandstra, Zandstra's Farm and Greenhouse, Highland, IN 46322 Elizabeth Maynard, Purdue University, Westville, IN 46391

**Introduction.** Bacterial spot of tomatoes (*Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *vesicatoria*) causes lesions on leaves, stems and fruit. Under conditions of hot, humid, rainy weather, defoliation can result in a loss of yield. In addition, lesions on fruit result in a direct loss of marketability. This disease is managed primarily with applications of fixed copper bactericides, crop rotations, greenhouse sanitation and healthy seed/transplants. Even in properly managed commercial fields, however, bacterial spot can cause yield losses.

Although there are no varieties with complete resistance to bacterial spot, we report here the results of an on-farm trial that indicates some varieties may have partial resistance.

**Methods.** Seeds of 18 varieties were planted in the greenhouse facilities of Butch Zandstra in Lake County, IN. Transplants were planted in the field on 7 June in a completely randomized design with 4 replications. Replications 1 - 3 consisted of 50 plants; plant number in the fourth replication varied from 6 to 50. The plants were placed on 3 foot-wide black plastic and were staked and weaved. A contact fungicide and fixed copper bactericide were applied approximately weekly from 1 July until 16 September.

On September 12, each plot was rated for bacterial spot using the Horsfall-Barratt scale (J.G. Horsfall and R.W. Barratt, Phytopathology 35:655). The Horsfall-Barratt scale is a  $\log_{10}$ -based scale used to assign percent foliage affected into one of 11 severity classes. Because it is a log scale, disease severity ratings representing low and high severities are of a relatively narrow range of percents than midrange percent classes. The ratings were analyzed by ANOVA and means were separated using Fisher's protected least significant difference at P=0.05. The Horsfall-Barratt ratings were converted back into percents for presentation using the Elanco Conversion Tables (Eli Lilly Company, Indianapolis, IN).

**Results and Discussion.** There were significant differences in the amount of disease present on the varieties on 12 Sep. The percent of disease ranged from a mean of 25.8 percent for Mountain Fresh to 89.5 percent for Applause. Since the only disease rating was performed relatively late in the season, the percents shown below are a snapshot of the amount of disease present and do not reflect the amount of disease that occurred over the entire season. However, the size of the differences shown here suggests that partial resistance to bacterial spot could play a part in the management of this disease.

Table 1. Disease rating for fresh market tomato varieties grown in Lake Co., IN, 2006.

Variety	Disease	Variety	Disease Rating <sup>z</sup>
	Rating <sup>z</sup>		
Applause	89.5 a <sup>y</sup>	Crista F1	51.6 bcd
Indy	74.2 ab	Florida 7514	48.4 bcd
Bella Rosa	74.2 ab	Linda	45.3 cd
Sebring	72.7 ab	Celebrity Supreme	45.3 cd
Paragon	63.3 bc	Fabulous	40.6 cd
Florida 91	60.9 bc	Red Sun	39.1 cd
Amelia	56.3 bc	Biltmore	32.8 cd
HMX 5826	56.3 bc	BHN 589	32.8 cd
Phoenix	50.8 bc	Mountain Fresh	25.8 d

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>z</sup>Plots were rated for severity of bacterial spot using the Horsfall-Barratt scale. Ratings converted to percent foliage affected.

Acknowledgments: Tomato seed was donated by Johnny's Selected Seeds, Harris Moran, Rispens Seeds, Rupp Seeds, Sakata Seeds, Seminis, Seedway, and Syngenta/Rogers Brand.

Originally published in Midwest Vegetable Variety Trial Report for 2006. Compiled by Elizabeth T. Maynard and Christopher C. Gunter. Bulletin No. B18048. Dept. of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture and Office of Agricultural Research Programs, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, Indiana. December 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>Means within each column with a letter in common are not significantly different (P=0.05, LSD).