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Abstract

In this paper, we propose a new exact closed form mutual inductance equation for on-chip interconnects. We express the mutual inductance between two parallel rectangular conductors as a weighted sum of self-inductances. We do not place any restrictions on the alignment of the two parallel rectangular conductors. Moreover, they could be co-planar or reside on different layers. Most important, detailed study shows that our formula is numerically more stable than that derived in [2] for practical cases of modem on-chip interconnects.
1 Introduction

In modern VLSI design, it is prudent that inductance effect be considered in the timing and noise analysis of on-chip global interconnects. The concept of inductance is defined based on the magnetic fields caused by currents flowing through closed conductor loops. For general three-dimensional interconnects, however, the return paths of currents are distributed and not known a priori. An approach that obviates the need for prior knowledge of return paths in circuit simulation is the use of the partial element equivalent circuit (PEEC) model [6]. In this model, partial inductances are defined to represent the loop interactions among conductors, each forming its own return loop with infinity. In the following discourse, we use mutual inductance to refer strictly to partial mutual inductance and self-inductance to refer strictly to partial self-inductance.

In this paper, we derive the exact closed form formula for the mutual inductance of two parallel conductors; for two wires orthogonal to each other, the mutual inductance is zero. Exact formulas for the mutual inductance of two parallel conductors are available. For example, the mutual inductance between two parallel filaments with length $l$ and spacing $d$ is given by the following exact formula [5]:

$$ M = \frac{\mu l}{2\pi} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{l}{d} \right) + \sqrt{1 + \frac{l^2}{d^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{d^2}{l^2}} - \frac{d}{l} \right]. \quad (1) $$

If $d \ll l$, a simpler approximate formula can be obtained through Taylor's expansion [1]:

$$ M = \frac{\mu l}{2\pi} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{2l}{d} \right) - 1 \right]. \quad (2) $$

If the length is not sufficiently larger than the distance, the accuracy could be affected. When that happens, Eqn. (2) is not a good approximation of Eqn. (1).

For two conductors with the cross-sectional dimensions comparable to their distance, which is typical of on-chip interconnects, they cannot be treated as filaments. In this case, the geometry mean distance (GMD) should be used in Eqn. (2) instead of $d$. Although exact formula for GMD of two rectangular area exists, it is common that only an approximation is used. In [1], pre-computed tables are used to obtain GMD. In [7], table-lookup and summation are used to calculate the GMD of two wires.

One major shortcoming of Eqns. (1) and (2) is that they do not apply to more general cases; the parallel conductors must be of the same length and their end points aligned. There are techniques that can be deployed to overcome this shortcoming [4].

In [2], the authors derived a closed form formula for the mutual inductance of any pair of parallel rectangular conductors even if they are not aligned. The formula is given below:

$$ M = \frac{1}{w_1 w_2 t_1 t_2} \left[ \left[ \int [f(X, Y, Z) \right]_{X=x_1+w_1+t_1}^{x_1} \cdot [f(X, Y, Z) \right]_{X=x_2+w_2+t_2}^{x_2} \cdot [f(X, Y, Z) \right]_{Z=z_3}^{z_3} \cdot [f(X, Y, Z) \right]_{Z=z_3}^{z_3} \right]. \quad (3) $$

where $w_1$, $w_2$, and $w_3$ are the widths and the distance between the two lines in the x-direction; $t_1$, $t_2$, and $t_3$ are the
thicknesses and the distance in the y-direction; \(l_1, l_2,\) and \(l_3\) are the lengths and the offset in the z-direction; and

\[
[\{(X,Y,Z)\}^{X=x_1,x_2}Y=y_1,y_2Z=z_1,z_2 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \sum_{k=1}^{4} (-1)^{i+j+k+1} f(x_i, y_j, z_k).
\]

The exact expression of \((X,Y,Z)\) can be found in [2]. Unfortunately, the computation of the exact formula in Eqn. (3) is numerically unstable (see Section 6 for the numerical results).

Consider the special case when we calculate the mutual inductance between two identical conductors that coincide with each other, we obtain the self-inductance. The closed form formulas for self-inductance in [2, 6, 8] are derived in this fashion. However, the formulas in [6, 8] are numerically more stable than the formula given in [2].

In this paper, we reveal the inverse relation between mutual inductance and self-inductance, that is, the mutual inductance can be expressed in terms of self-inductance. To be more specific, the mutual inductance of on-chip interconnects is a weighted sum of self-inductances. Just like Eqn. (3), we do not impose any restrictions on the alignment of the two parallel rectangular conductors. Moreover, the formula applies to co-planar wires or wires residing on different layers. Most important, it is exact and numerically stable for practical cases of modern on-chip interconnects. We also derive for special cases of parallel conductors that are commonly encountered among on-chip interconnects closed form formulas that are even more compact. Detailed study in Section 6 shows that our formula is numerically more stable than Eqn. (3) derived in [2].

2 Preliminaries

The mutual inductance between two conductors with uniform cross sections is

\[
M = \frac{1}{l_0 l_1} \int_{A_0} \int_{A_1} M_{01} J_0 J_1 dA_0 dA_1,
\]

where \(A_0\) and \(A_1\) are the cross-sectional areas of the two conductors. \(I_0, I_1, J_0\) and \(J_1\) are the current and the current densities of the conductors. \(M_{01}\) is the mutual inductance between two filaments \(dA_0\) and \(dA_1\), and the current is assumed to be constant along the length of each filament.

At relatively low frequency, the current distribution varies very little in the cross sections and can be assumed to be constant throughout the conductors. Hence, the mutual inductance can be reduced to the following equation:

\[
M = \frac{1}{A_0 A_1} \int_{A_0} \int_{A_1} M_{01} dA_0 dA_1.
\]

As indicated by the preceding equation, the mutual inductance is determined only by the geometries of the two conductors. Under magneto-quasistatic condition, the mutual inductance between two filaments \(L_0\) and \(L_1\) can be calculated by Neumann's formula:

\[
M_f = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \int_{L_0} \int_{L_1} \frac{dL_0 \cdot dL_1}{r}.
\]
where \( r \) is the distance between \( dl_0 \) and \( dl_1 \) and \( \mu \) is the permeability.

Consider two parallel rectangular wires as illustrated in Figure 1. Here, we assume that the current flows in the \( z \) direction. As can be seen in the figure, the displacements of the two wires along the \( x \) and \( y \) directions are non-zero.

Let the cross-sectional dimensions (in the \( x \)– \( y \) plane) of the two wires be \( T_x \times W_0 \) and \( T_1 \times W_1 \). We use \( p_{i,j,k} \) and \( q_{i,j,k} \), \( i,j,k \in \{0,1\} \), to denote the corners of the two wires, as illustrated in Fig. 1. All corner points of the first wire have two \( z \) coordinate values. We use \( z_{p_i,k} \), \( k \in \{0,1\} \), to denote the \( z \) coordinate value shared by the corners \( p_{i,k} \). Similarly, we use \( z_{q_j,k} \), \( k \in \{0,1\} \), to denote the \( z \) coordinate value shared by the corners \( q_{j,k} \). Similarly defined are the \( x \) and \( y \)-coordinate values of the corners of the two wires: \( x_{p_i} \) and \( x_{q_j} \), \( i \in \{0,1\} \), and \( y_{p_i} \) and \( y_{q_j} \), \( j \in \{0,1\} \).

Now, substituting Eqn. (6) into Eqn. (5), we obtain

\[
M = \frac{1}{W_0 T_0 W_1 T_1} \iint_{x_{p_0}}^{x_{p_1}} \iint_{y_{p_0}}^{y_{p_1}} \iint_{y_{q_0}}^{y_{q_1}} M \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \int_{z_{p_0}}^{z_{p_1}} \int_{z_{q_0}}^{z_{q_1}} \int_{x_{p_0}}^{x_{p_1}} \int_{x_{q_0}}^{x_{q_1}} \int_{y_{p_0}}^{y_{p_1}} \int_{y_{q_0}}^{y_{q_1}} \int_{z_{p_0}}^{z_{p_1}} \int_{z_{q_0}}^{z_{q_1}} \frac{1}{r} dz_0 dy_0 dy_1 dx_0 dx_1.
\]

(7)

If the two conductors coincide with each other, then the preceding mutual inductance equation gives the equation for the self-inductance of one conductor:

\[
L = \frac{1}{A^2} \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \int \int \int \int \int \frac{1}{r} dz_0 dy_0 dy_1 dx_0 dx_1.
\]

(8)

### 3 Formula for Mutual Inductance

In the following, we reveal the relation between mutual inductance and self-inductance, and then derive a closed form formula for the mutual inductance as a weighted sum of self-inductances.
Figure 2: A virtual conductor defined by two corner points.

It is trivial to show that for any function $f(x)$,

$$
\int_{p_0}^{p_1} \int_{q_0}^{q_1} f(|x_0 - x_1|)dx_0dx_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{p_0}^{q_1} \int_{p_0}^{q_1} f(|x_0 - x_1|)dx_0dx_1 + \int_{p_1}^{q_0} \int_{p_1}^{q_1} f(|x_0 - x_1|)dx_0dx_1 \right) - \int_{p_0}^{q_0} \int_{p_1}^{q_1} f(|x_0 - x_1|)dx_0dx_1 - \int_{p_1}^{q_1} \int_{p_0}^{q_0} f(|x_0 - x_1|)dx_0dx_1
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} (-1)^{i+j+1} \int_{p_i}^{q_j} \int_{p_i}^{q_j} f(|x_0 - x_1|)dx_0dx_1.
$$

Making use of Eqn. (9), we can rewrite Eqn. (7) as follows:

$$
M = \frac{1}{2} \pi \int_{P_0}^{P_1} \int_{Q_0}^{Q_1} \int_{F_0}^{F_1} \int_{E_0}^{E_1} \int_{D_0}^{D_1} \int_{C_0}^{C_1} \int_{B_0}^{B_1} \int_{A_0}^{A_1} M_{ij} dy_0 dy_1 dx_0 dx_1
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{W_0 W_1 T_1} \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{1} \int_{P_0}^{P_1} \int_{Q_0}^{Q_1} \int_{F_0}^{F_1} \int_{E_0}^{E_1} \int_{D_0}^{D_1} \int_{C_0}^{C_1} \int_{B_0}^{B_1} \int_{A_0}^{A_1} (-1)^{i+j+k+1} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} d\eta_0 d\eta_1 dx_0 dx_1
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{W_0 W_1 T_1} \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{1} \int_{P_0}^{P_1} \int_{Q_0}^{Q_1} \int_{F_0}^{F_1} \int_{E_0}^{E_1} \int_{D_0}^{D_1} \int_{C_0}^{C_1} \int_{B_0}^{B_1} \int_{A_0}^{A_1} (-1)^{i+j+k+1} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} d\eta_0 d\eta_1 dx_0 dx_1
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{W_0 W_1 T_1} \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{1} \int_{P_0}^{P_1} \int_{Q_0}^{Q_1} \int_{F_0}^{F_1} \int_{E_0}^{E_1} \int_{D_0}^{D_1} \int_{C_0}^{C_1} \int_{B_0}^{B_1} \int_{A_0}^{A_1} (-1)^{i+j+k+1} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} d\eta_0 d\eta_1 dx_0 dx_1.
$$

Note that the six-fold integration in Eqn. (10) is simply Eqn. (8), the formula for the self-inductance of a rectangular conductor defined by its two diagonal corner points $p_{0,j,k}$ and $q_{i,j,k}$, $i_0, i_1, j_0, j_1, k_0, k_1 \in \{0, 1\}$, as illustrated in Figure 2. The indices $i_0, j_0$, and $k_0$ of $p_{0,j,k}$ identify a corner of the first wire (see Figure 1). Similarly, $q_{i,j,k}$ is one
of the eight corner points of the second wire. Altogether, the corner points of the first wire and second wire define 64 virtual wires, each defined by a corner point from the first wire and a corner point from the second wire.

Let $L_{p_{l_i,j},q_{l_i,j},k_1}$ refer to the self-inductance of a rectangular conductor with two points $p_{l_i,j,k_0}$ and $q_{l_i,j,k_1}$ on the diagonal ends, and $A_{p_{l_i,j},k_0} q_{l_i,j,k_1}$ denote the cross-sectional area of the conductor. Substituting Eqn. (8) into Eqn. (10) yields

$$M = \frac{1}{W_0 T_0 W_1 T_1} \frac{1}{8} \sum_{l_i,j_i,k_0,k_1} (-1)^{k_0+i_1+j_0+j_1+k_0+k_1+1} A_{p_{l_i,j},k_0} q_{l_i,j,k_1}^2 L_{p_{l_i,j},k_0} q_{l_i,j,k_1}.$$  

In other words, the mutual inductance of two parallel wires is a weighted sum of the self-inductances of the 64 virtual wires defined by the two wires, the weight of each self-inductance being $+A^2$ or $-A^2$. In some cases, $p_{l_i,j,k_0}$ and $q_{l_i,j,k_1}$ may share one or more coordinate values, resulting in one or more dimensions in the defined virtual conductor being zero. The self-inductance of such a virtual conductor is infinite. However, the cross-sectional area $A$ of such a virtual conductor is zero. As $A^2$ of such a virtual conductor approaches zero faster than the inductance approaches infinity, the multiplication in Eqn. (11) is zero. Therefore, the equation is still valid in this special case. In fact, this equation is valid for any two parallel conductors that have rectangular cross sections.

The remaining issue is the computation of the self-inductances. In [2, 6, 8], closed form formulas for the self-inductance of a rectangular conductor are derived. Although the formulas are symbolically equivalent, the closed-form formulas from [6, 8] are numerically more stable. The closed-form formula for the per-unit-length self-inductance of a rectangular conductor of length $l$, thickness $T$, and width $W$ is as follows [8]:

$$L = \frac{2\mu}{\pi} \frac{1}{4} \frac{w}{l} \left[ \frac{1}{2} t \left( S(\alpha r) + S(\alpha r) + S(\alpha r) \right) + \frac{w^2}{t^2} S\left( \frac{t}{w} \alpha \alpha (r+\alpha r) \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{i}{w^2} S\left( \frac{1}{r} \alpha \alpha (\alpha r) \right) + S\left( \frac{1}{w} \alpha \alpha (\alpha r) \right) + \frac{1}{w^2} t^2 \left( \alpha \alpha (\alpha r) + \alpha \alpha (\alpha r) \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{w} \left( T \frac{i}{w} \alpha \alpha (r+\alpha r) \right) - t \left( \frac{w}{t} T \frac{i}{w} \alpha \alpha (r+\alpha r) \right) - \frac{1}{60} \left( \alpha \alpha + r \right) \left( \alpha \alpha + r \right) (\alpha \alpha + 1) (\alpha \alpha + 1) +$$

$$\left( \alpha \alpha + r + w + a \right) w^2 + \left( \alpha \alpha + a + 1 + \alpha \alpha \right)$$

$$\left( \alpha \alpha + r \right) (r + w) (\alpha \alpha + \alpha \alpha) (\alpha \alpha + a) (\alpha \alpha + 1) (\alpha \alpha + 1) (\alpha \alpha + 1)$$

$$- \frac{1}{20} \left( \alpha \alpha + 1 \right) \left( \alpha \alpha + 1 \right)$$

(12)

where $w = W/l$, $t = T/l$, $r = \sqrt{w^2 + t^2}$, $\alpha \alpha = \sqrt{w^2 + 1}$, $\alpha = \sqrt{r^2 + 1}$, $\alpha r = \sqrt{w^2 + r^2 + 1}$, $S(x) = \sinh^{-1}(x) = \ln(x + \sqrt{1 + x^2})$, $T(x) = \tan^{-1}(x)$. In this work, we compute self-inductances using Eqn. (12).

4 Special Cases

Eqn. (11) is valid for any two conductors that are rectangular and parallel. Here, we can consider such special cases as two identical conductors that are parallel and properly aligned as shown in Figure 3. The width of the wires is $w$ and the spacing between the two wires is $s$. In such a case, only three distinct integrations (out of a total of 64 in Eqn. (11))
remains after eliminating those that are equal to zero. The formula for mutual inductance can be simplified as

\[
M = \frac{1}{2w^2} [(2w+s)^2 L_{2w+s} + s^2 L_s - 2(w+s)^2 L_{w+s}],
\]

(13)

where \( L_w \) represents the self-inductance of a rectangular conductor with width \( W \).

Consider another special case where two conductors with identical cross section are coaxial, as shown in Figure 4. Let the lengths of the two conductors be \( l_0 \) and \( l_1 \). The distance between the two closest end points of the conductors is \( s \). The exact formula for the mutual inductance between the two conductors can be simplified as

\[
M = \frac{1}{2} [L_{l_0+s+l_1} - L_{l_0+s} - L_{l_1+s} + L_s],
\]

(14)

where \( L_l \) is the self-inductance of a conductor with length \( l \).

Now, consider the special case where the two conductors are identical and they coincide with each other. In this case, only eight of the 64 integrations deal with virtual conductors with non-zero cross-sectional areas and lengths. Moreover, these virtual conductors are identical to the conductor of interest. Therefore, the mutual inductance between the two conductors is

\[
M = \frac{1}{8WT} (8AL) = L.
\]

(15)
That coincides with the definition of self-inductance of the conductor.

5 Skin Effect and Other Considerations

In the preceding derivations, we assume that the current distribution is uniform in the cross section of the conductor. In other words, we ignore the skin effect. Theoretically, the current in the conductor is not uniformly distributed due to skin effect. However, for relatively low frequency, it is reasonable to ignore the skin effect on current distribution and assume that the current distribution is purely determined by the resistive effect and is thus uniform. For modern global interconnects, it is still safe to ignore skin effects even when the frequency is as high as 10GHz. For example, the difference between the inductance values at 1Hz and 10GHz of copper wires with cross-sectional dimensions of $0.5\mu m \times 1\mu m$ is less than 0.01%.

For cases where the skin effect cannot be ignored, we can divide the cross section of a conductor into a mesh and then apply the formula to each element. If the resulting inductance matrix is too large, reduced order modeling techniques can be applied.

It is worthy of note that the result of mutual inductance is a weighted sum of the self-inductance of 64 rectangular virtual wires. Some virtual wires may be too large to be realistic or so large we should consider skin effect. However, it is important to realize that they constitute only the intermediate results. The validity of the final result depends on the structures of the two real wires, not these virtual wires.

The exact formula we derived in the preceding three sections apply only to parallel rectangular conductors. If the structures of the wires are complex, the formula may not apply directly. However, if they can be decomposed into rectangular conductors that are parallel or orthogonal to each other, we can still apply this formula to each pair of conductors and obtain the total inductance value according to the PEEC model.

6 Numerical Results

In this section, we compare the numerical results obtained by our formula with those obtained by the formula in [2]. Eqn. (3) is also a result of 64 expressions. However, the function $f(X,Y,Z)$ (see [2] for details) in this expression is different from the product of the square of cross-sectional area and self-inductance in our formula. In Figure 5, we obtain the mutual inductance of double precision between two wires with cross-sectional dimensions of $0.5\mu m \times 1\mu m$. They are $1.5\mu m$ apart. The plots in Figure 5(a) and Figure 5(b) are respectively obtained with the formula from [2] and our formula. It is evident that the results from [2] is numerically less stable than those obtained with our formula; the mutual inductance should increase smoothly as wire length increases.

The numerical results obtained with the formula from [2] significantly improve if we increase the precision level from double to long double. The plots in Figure 6(a) and Figure 6(b) are respectively obtained with the formula from [2] and our formula using long double precision.

However, even increasing the level of precision has its limitation. In Figure 7, we obtain the mutual inductance of
Figure 5: Mutual inductance of double precision extracted with (a) the formula from [2] and (b) our formula for two wires with a fixed spacing of 1.5μm but varying lengths.

Figure 6: Mutual inductance of long double precision extracted with (a) the formula from [2] and (b) our formula for two wires with a fixed spacing of 1.5μm but varying lengths.
double precision between two wires with cross-sectional dimensions of 0.5\(\mu\text{m}\times1\mu\text{m}\). They are both of length 200\(\mu\text{m}\).

Now, we vary the spacing between the two conductors. Again, it is evident that the results from [2] is numerically less stable than those obtained with our formula; the mutual inductance should decrease smoothly as wire spacing increases. Even when we increase the level of precision to long double (Figure 8), the numerical results of the formula from [2] are still unacceptable when compared with those obtained with our formula.

We also validate the numerical results obtained with our formula with those from FastHenry (DC analysis) [3]. The inductance values for the two sets of parallel conductors are plotted in Figure 9(a) and Figure 9(b). For most cases, our approach and that of FastHenry produce the same results for most realistic cases of modern interconnects. Only for a few cases are the discrepancies noticeable.

**Conclusion**

In this paper, we proposed a new closed form formula for on-chip mutual inductance. It is an exact formula that is practical and convenient for on-chip inductance extraction. Most important, it is numerically stable for practical cases of modern on-chip interconnects.
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Figure 8: Mutual inductance of long double precision extracted with (a) the formula from [2] and (b) our formula of two wires with an identical length of 200\(\mu m\) but varying spacings.

Figure 9: Mutual inductance extracted with FastHenry (DC analysis) of (a) two wires of a fixed spacing of 1.5\(\mu m\) but varying lengths and (b) two wires of an identical length of 200\(\mu m\) but varying spacing.


